1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	Case No.	3:10-cv-03561 WHA (DMR)		
12	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOTION TO COMPEL [DKT. 1404]			
13	V.	COMI	L [DK1. 1404]		
14	GOOGLE INC.,	Dept. Judge:	Courtroom 4 (Oakland) Hon. Donna M. Ryu		
15	Defendant.	suage.	Tion. Doinia W. Rya		
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
2425					
26					
27					
28					
	PROPOSED ORDER RE MOTION TO COMPEL [DKT. 1404]				

CASE NO. 3:10-CV-03561 WHA (DMR)

Pursuant to the Court's Order of December 30, 2015 (Dkt. 1410), on January 14, 2016, the parties appeared before the Court, Magistrate Judge Donna M. Ryu presiding, for a hearing on Oracle's Motion to Compel Production of Google Search Distribution Agreements and Further Rule 30(6)(b) Deposition (Dkt. 1404) ("Oracle's Motion").

The Court, having read and considered the papers submitted and having heard the parties thereon, and for good cause appearing, hereby **ORDERS** the following:

- 1. On or before January 27, 2016, Google will produce charts specifying the following data for each provider of a non-Android mobile operating system with whom Google has or previously had a search distribution agreement for Google search services offered in connection with such non-Android mobile operating system, where such agreement also provided for a sharing of revenue. The chart for each such provider shall contain the yearly data for the following (as well as any additional matters ordered in paragraphs 2-4):
 - (a) the total gross revenue Google earned under the relevant agreement;
- (b) the percentage of search revenue Google shares with the provider pursuant to the relevant agreement; and
 - (c) a list of all Google services and applications covered under the relevant agreement.
- 2. Oracle's request that each chart contain the estimated number of devices covered by each agreement is [GRANTED/DENIED].
- 3. Oracle's request that each chart contain the total sum of other payments (if any) made by Google pursuant to each agreement is [GRANTED/DENIED].
- 4. The range of data disclosed by Google [SHALL/SHALL NOT] be limited to the years 2002 through 2012.
- 5. In the event of any further dispute regarding the charts, the parties shall promptly meet and confer and submit a joint letter brief of no more than 3 pages by February 8, 2016.
- 6. Google is not required to identify the providers associated with the search distribution agreements, and instead may name them by a pseudonym such as "Mobile Platform Provider A." If Google does not identify the platform providers associated with the agreements, Google shall not be permitted to exploit in any respect the non-production of the identity of any

1	unidentified provider. In particular, though without limitation, Google shall not argue that any			
2	data referenced in the charts is more or less relevant to fair use or monetary remedies as a result			
3	of the lack of identity of the provider.			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
5	Dotada			
6	Dated:			
7		By:	HON. DONNA M. RYU	
8			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
9				
10	Approved as to form:			
11	Dated: January 20, 2016		KEKER & VAN NEST LLP	
12		By:	/s/ Robert A. Van Nest ROBERT A. VAN NEST	
13			Attorneys for GOOGLE, INC.	
14			Audineys for GOOGLE, five.	
15	Dated: January 20, 2016		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE	
16	Dated. January 20, 2010		LLP	
17		By:	/s/ Annette L. Hurst	
18			ANNETTE L. HURST	
19			Attorneys for ORACLE AMERICA, INC.	
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
2627				
28				
20		2		
	PROPOSED ORDER RE MOTION TO COMPEL [DKT. 1404]			